

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.napto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,880	01/18/2001	Gregory P. Crawford	12136.125	9292	
75	90 05/20/2003				
Mary Rose Scozzafava, Esq.			EXAMINER		
Hale and Dorr LLP 60 State Street			AKKAPEDDI, PRASAD R		
Boston, MA 02	2109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					MV				
Office Action Summary		Application No		Applicant(s)					
		09/765,880		CRAWFORD ET	AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Prasad R Akkap	eddi	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-f	īnal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
<u> </u>	on of Claims	_							
,	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application		tion						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consider	ration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requiren	nent.						
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) 🔲 🗀	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (t).					
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document								
	2. Certified copies of the priority document								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1)  Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-32, drawn to a reflecting device, classified in class 349, subclass
   113.
- II. Claims 33-47, drawn to a method of varying the optical thickness of a reflecting device, classified in class 349, subclass 187.
- 1. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the reflecting device of the product can be made by a process (using, for example, a computer generated grating as a mask), in which the device can have discreet reflection wavelengths, instead of a continuum of reflection wavelengths.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Art Unit: 2871

A: claims 1-14 and 32 drawn to a reflecting device having electrically controllable, variable reflection (No figure shown). The specifics of the reflection device being: a composition comprising a periodic array of liquid crystal disposed in a polymer matrix'

B: claims 15-30 drawn to a reflecting device having electrically controllable, variable reflection according to Figs.1-6. The specifics of the reflection device being: a holographic polymer dispersed liquid crystal film comprising layers of liquid crystal and polymer matrix.

C: claim 31 drawn to a grating according to Fig. 7.

If Group I is elected, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims is generic.

3. Group II contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A: claims 33-44 drawn to a method of varying the optical thickness of a reflecting device.

B: claims 45-46 drawn to a method of modifying reflection characteristics in an H-PDLC reflecting device.

C: claim 47 drawn to a method of electrically controlling a variable peak wavelength of a grating.

If Group II is elected, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall

Art Unit: 2871

be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims is generic.

4. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prasad R Akkapeddi whose telephone number is 703-305-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM to 5:30PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0530.

May 19, 2003

KENNETH PARKER PRIMARY EXAMINER